

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/649,507	TRAN, LUAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David S Blum	2813	

All Participants:

(1) David S Blum.

(2) James Beyer.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 October 2004

Time: 10:30AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-44

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed restriction based upon claims drawn toward a memory cell or semiconductor device with a convex plug and others toward a memory cell, semiconductor device, or computer with a memory cell having a plug free of concavities. The applicant agreed to cancel all claims toward a memory cell, device and computer with a plug free of concavities.